Abstract

The results of dark rate monitoring programs for the ACS/SBC are presented here. The dark rate has a very low and stable value of \(8.11 \times 10^{-6}\) cts/pix/s when the instrument is \(\lesssim 25^\circ\) C. In a 1000s exposure, less than 1\% of pixels will have 1 count. As the instrument warms up, the overall dark rate increases due to an elevated dark rate in the central region of the detector. Recommendations are made regarding observation planning and data analysis.

Introduction

Over the last decade, little work has been done on calibration for the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) Solar Blind Channel (SBC). This report is the third in a series [Avila et al., 2016; Avila and Chiaberge, 2016] where various aspects of the SBC calibration will be inspected and updated if necessary.

A full investigation of the SBC dark rates was last undertaken in April 2009 [Cox]. Since then, the ACS instrument team has continued to include programs designed to monitor the dark rates in its calibration plan. Thanks to this effort, there is now enough data to study any trends or changes in the dark rates and make recommendations to observers.
Data

The Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) contains SBC dark images obtained from a variety of programs. The darks used here are from programs where darks were taken continuously for at least five hours, immediately after the instrument was turned on to conduct observations. This setup allows tracking of how the temperature affects the dark rate. A total of 13 visits from 11 programs meet this criterion (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal ID</th>
<th>Observation date</th>
<th>Exposures</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9022</td>
<td>2002-05-31</td>
<td>30×1200s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11049</td>
<td>2006-12-12</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11885</td>
<td>2008-12-22</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11373</td>
<td>2009-05-31</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11885</td>
<td>2009-08-23</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td>Visit 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11885</td>
<td>2010-03-22</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td>Visit 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12391</td>
<td>2011-03-13</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12736</td>
<td>2012-03-12</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13161</td>
<td>2013-03-03</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13598</td>
<td>2014-03-01</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13961</td>
<td>2015-03-03</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14404</td>
<td>2016-03-01</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14513</td>
<td>2017-03-01</td>
<td>20×1000s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: List of programs used for this study. Most programs only had one epoch. Program 11885 contained multiple epochs taken at different times, as noted by the column on the right.

Image processing

Images were downloaded from MAST in RAW and FLT format. Dark rates are estimated by taking the mean of all pixels in each RAW image, and then normalizing by the exposure time. Certain pixels are excluded from the calculation; those where the DQ bit in the FLT is non-zero, and the two rows and columns at the edges of the image. The SBC does not have a temperature sensor on the detector, but the temperature is measured on the instrument’s enclosure tube. This temperature is recorded at the beginning and end of each exposure and is reported in the MDECODT1 and MDECODT2 header keywords. We use the average temperature of these two as the temperature measurement.

Results

The top panel in Figure 1 shows the dark rate vs temperature for all the datasets. The majority of data sets show a steady dark rate until the temperature reaches \( \sim 25.5^\circ C \). Cox (2009) previously showed that the observations taken in December 2008 (light orange-dashed line) were executed while the observatory was close to the South Atlantic Anomaly.
(SAA), and therefore an abnormal peak in the dark rate is observed. Observations from December 2006 (light blue-dashed line) show a similar dark rate vs temperature profile as that problematic set, and indeed Figure 2 shows that those observations also occurred during a close SAA passage.

**Figure 1:** Top panel shows the dark rates vs. temperature measured from all dark rate monitoring programs. The bottom panel shows how the temperature changed from the time the instrument was turned on until the end of the observations. Dashed lines correspond to observations that were excluded from further analysis, see text for details.

The bottom panel in Figure 1 shows how the temperature changes throughout each of the observations. For the most part, the temperature rises with a slowly decreasing rate of change. The shape of most of the curves is the same, with a different initial temperature of the instrument. The one exception to all this is the dataset from May 2009 (dark orange-dashed line), which had an elevated temperature at the beginning of the observations. The SBC had been used for an extended period of time approximately 17 hours before this set of observations. It is possible that the instrument didn’t cool down in the intervening time.
Figure 2: Path of HST during the first visit of program 11049, with crosses spaced one minute apart. The red contour shows the extent of the SAA. When these observations occurred, the exclusion region used for SAA passages was smaller.

The two sets of observations taken during the SAA passage and the set that began with an elevated temperature are excluded from further analysis.

Discussion

Figure 1 shows that the dark rate remains stable at low temperatures. The mean dark rate when the instrument is below 25°C is $8.11 \times 10^{-6}$ cts/pix/s. This is about 35% lower than what is currently reported in Table 3.1 of the ACS Instrument Handbook (Avila 2017). At this low rate, less than 1% of pixels will have 1 count in a 1000s exposure and a few will have 2 or more counts. At higher temperatures the dark rate begins increasing, and has reached a rate as high as $5.55 \times 10^{-5}$ cts/pix/s.

It is of interest whether the dark rate has increased in any way during the lifetime of the instrument. We investigate this by plotting the mean dark rate of the last image taken during each of the programs against the observation date (Fig 3). This is the measurement of the dark rate after the instrument has been on for 5 hours. For the 2002 observations
we used the measurement of the dark rate after 5 hours, instead of the last image which corresponds to 10 hours, in order to match the rest of the data. It does appear that the overall final dark rate has been increasing at a rate of $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ cts/pix/s/yr. This effect should be monitored on a regular basis from now on.
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**Figure 3:** Rate of change of the final dark rate measurement of each visit.
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**Figure 4:** The first (left) and last (right) images from the latest dark monitoring calibration program (PID-14513). The yellow boxes are $100 \times 100$ pixels in size, centered around (100,100) and (570,620).

It has been noted that the central region of the detector shows an elevated dark rate at warmer temperatures (Cox 2004, 2009). Figure 4 shows the first and last images taken in the latest data from March 2017. The central region of the detector does indeed show a concentration of events. This pattern shows up in all the data sets included in this study. To explore this issue further, we examined the dark rates inside two regions on the detector, the two boxes shown in Figure 4. Box 1 is located in the lower left of the detector, where the dark rates are low. Box 2 is located close to the center of the region with the elevated dark
rate. Box 2 avoids the region of the repeller wire (column 500) and the five dead rows on the detector. The ratio of the dark rates measured in these regions is plotted as a function of temperature in Figure 5. The ratio of the dark rate in the two regions doubles at $T \sim 25^\circ C$ and rapidly grows at greater temperatures. The region in Box 1 maintains a steady dark rate even at elevated temperatures. This indicates that the increase in the overall dark rate is driven by the elevated dark rate of the central region of the detector. Given this information, the ACS instrument team should explore the possibility of defining a new aperture position centered close to Box 1, assuming that flat fields in those regions are stable enough for such a setup. This aperture could be used for observations of compact sources where it is not necessary to have the full aperture of the detector.

**Figure 5:** Ratio of dark rates in two different regions in the detector as a function of temperature.

## Conclusion

The dark rate for the SBC remains as stable as ever. It remains steady while the instrument is $\lesssim 25.0^\circ C$. Above that temperature, the central region of the detector begins to experience an increasingly higher dark rate. That being the case, dark frames cannot be subtracted from science observations like they are with CCDs. Unlike CCDs, MAMA dark images are not meant to capture hot and warm pixels. Instead, dark rate in MAMA detectors shows up as random background noise. It is therefore not necessary for the pipeline to change its current mode of operation for SBC images, which is to omit dark subtraction.

For the purposes of scientific analysis, the dark rate can be subtracted along with the background noise, at least when the detector is still $\lesssim 25.0^\circ C$. Above that temperature, users should still be able to do a local subtraction, but should be aware of the pattern present in the dark rate.

Contact scientists should ensure, during Phase II reviews, that SBC visits are of an appropriate length so that the instrument remains at a temperature $\lesssim 25.0^\circ C$. 
No delivery of dark frames to the calibration pipeline should be necessary, but the instrument team should keep the monitoring program in its calibration plans for the lifetime of the instrument.

Assuming there are no flat fielding or other issues, the ACS instrument team should explore the possibility of defining a new aperture position close to the lower left of the detector where the dark rate remains steady even at elevated temperatures.
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