Instrument Science Report COS 2016-13(v1)

Cycle 22 COS/FUV Spectroscopic Sensitivity Monitor

Gisella De Rosa, Hugues Sana, Justin Ely, and the COS team

[1]*Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore, MD*

20 September, 2016

ABSTRACT

We summarize the Cycle 22 COS/FUV spectroscopic sensitivity monitor that ran from November 2014 to October 2015. We give an overview of the program and a summary of the analysis of the data.

Contents

1. Introduction

The COS/FUV spectroscopic sensitivity program is designed to monitor changes in the sensitivity of both FUV gratings. The temporal sensitivity variations are modeled as a function of wavelength, grating and segment. Information to correct the data for time sensitivity variations is included in the time dependent sensitivity (TDS) reference files (to be used with CalCOS). The reference files are updated when the slope of the TDS changes.

The Cycle 22 FUV TDS monitor program (PID: 13967, PI: Hugues Sana) took monthly exposures of two standard flux calibration stars (WD 0808-565, and GD71) from November 2014 to October 2015.

2. Program design

The program is designed to periodically monitor the bluest and reddest central wavelength of each grating with additional coverage of the G130M blue modes (G130M/1055, G130M/1096 and G130M/1222). Two white dwarf standard stars are used during cycle 22: WD0308-565 and GD 71 (same as cycle 21). Different settings are monitored with different standard stars in order to optimize the S/N of the mode while minimizing the impact on the detector lifetime. The target used to monitor each cenwave is detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Targets used to monitor different COS FUV modes

Grating	Cenwave	FUVA Target	FUVB Target
G130M	1055	WD0308-565	N/A
	1096	N/A	GD71
	1022	WD0308-565	WD0308-565
	1291	WD0308-565	WD0308-565
	1327	WD0308-565	WD0308-565
G160M	1577	GD71	WD0308-565
	1623	GD71	WD0308-565
G140L	1105	WD0308-565	N/A
	1280	WD0308-565	WD0308-565

Exposure times were set to obtain a signal to noise ratio (S/N) of 15 per resel at the wavelength of least sensitivity for all the standard modes. For the blue modes we aimed at obtaining S/N~25 per resel at the wavelength of most sensitivity. This ensured S/N >15 for λ>1030 Å for 1096/FUVB, for λ>1130 Å for 1055/FUVA and 1222/FUVB.

Since there are no wavelength calibration lamp lines available in the wavelength range covered by G130M/1096/FUVB, the visits include a wavelength calibration lamp observation that is taken at the same OSM position right after the science exposure.

3. Execution

All the FUV gratings were monitored monthly. Two types of monitoring sequence, *complete* and *reduced*, occurred on alternating months.

The *complete* monitoring sequence (first visit on November 2014, occurring every other month except May-Jul when GD71 is unavailable) consists of 3 orbits in 2 visits. The one orbit visit covers the G130M/1096/FUVB, G160M/1577/FUVA, and G160M/1623/FUVA modes. The two orbit visit covers G130M/1222, G130M/1291, G130M/1327, G130M/1055/FUVA, G160M/1577/FUVB, G160M/1623/FUVB, G140L/1105, G140L/1280 modes.

The reduced monitoring sequence (first visit on November 2014, occurring every other month) consists of one orbit visit to monitor the complete wavelength range of the standard modes using one central wavelength per grating. The modes covered are G130M/1291, G160M/1623, and G140L/1280. An additional full sequence (3 orbits) was added in February 2015 to transition the TDS from LP2 to LP3.

All data were successfully acquired and archived.

4. Summary of analysis and Results

Data were analyzed using the *cos_tds_analysis.py* script as described in Bostroem (COS TIR 2014-05). Net counts were binned over 5 Å for the medium resolution modes, and over 20 Å for the low resolution ones. A linear trend is fit to LP1 data and scaled LP2 and LP3 data. The overall relation is scaled such that the relative sensitivity is equal to 1 at May 01, 2009 (MJD=54952, first light). The analysis uses breakpoints of 2010.2, 2011.2, 2011.75, 2012.0, 2012.8 and 2013.8 (the last breakpoint was introduced towards the end of Cycle 21). Figure 1 shows a summary plot of the sensitivity vs time compared with the solar activity directed towards earth (created with the *make_solar_cycle_plot.py* script).

No major difference in slopes was detected with respect to Cycle 21 and no new breakpoint was introduced. Trends per mode are summarized in Figure 2, where the TDS slope, expressed in percent per year as a function of wavelength for each grating, is shown.

5. Accuracy achieved

For the standard modes a S/N of 15 at the wavelength of least sensitivity is reached with the exception of G140L FUVA whose sensitivity is extremely low at the long wavelength edge. For G140L FUVA the S/N <15 for wavelengths greater than 1840 Å. The blue modes (1096 and 1222) achieve the required signal to noise ratio of 25 at the wavelength of most sensitivity.

6. Reference Files Delivered

None

7. Relevant ISRs

None

8. Continuation plan

This program continued in Cycle 23 as program 14435. The monitoring is kept identical with the exception of the LP2-LP3 reconnection visit that was not renewed.



Figure 1. Decline of the COS FUV spectroscopic sensitivity over time (symbols, see legend) compared ro the solar activity directed at Earth as tracked by the 10.7 cm flux (blue solid line original data; green solid line smoothed data). The dashed red vertical lines represent breakpoints in the piece-wise function used to model the TDS. The dotted grey vertical lines mark the LP move, and the dot-dashed grey vertical line corresponds to change in operational voltage.



Figure 2. COS FUV TDS slope during Cycle 22, expressed in percent per year and plotted as a function of wavelength for the different gratings (see legend). FUVA is plotted as open symbols and FUVB as filled symbols. G130M is represented with blue circles, G160M with cyan triangles, and G140L with red squares. The variation in trend from segment to segment within a grating is apparent.