



How will/should the science community learn about on-orbit performance?

Jane Rigby

JWST Operations Project Scientist
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Jane.Rigby@nasa.gov

Once commissioning ends:

- When commissioning ends, all commissioning data go public.
- Users will want a summary of on-orbit performance and anomalies.
 - The science readiness criteria should form the core.
 - How does performance differ from pre-launch expectations?
 - What workarounds are needed in data analysis?
 - Considerations for Cycle 2 proposals. There's time for basic updates to the ETC.
 - **I invite your feedback** on the content of these release notes. Successful examples from other observatories?

During commissioning:

- Open communication *within the Team*, across institutions, countries, centers. Practiced in testing of ISIM, OTE, & OTIS, & in rehearsals.
- Communication to Science Mission Office, to make them aware of issues that may require changes to observing programs. SMO will notify PIs.
- Preparation for extensive communication once commissioning ends (release of data, release notes, update to JDox).
- During commissioning, HQ determines what we can say to the scientific community.
 - Anything said to the user community is effectively a public release.
 - **What frequency and content does the JSTUC think is needed?**
 - What should HQ consider?